

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/822,455	COOK, JAMES D.
Examiner	Art Unit
Sunil Singh	3673

	Sunil Singh	3673	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
1) <u>Sunil Singh</u> .	(3) Erik J. Overberger.		
2) <u>James D. Cook</u> .	(4) Thomas Breen	ı	
Date of Interview: 30 March 2006.			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	r)⊠ applicant's representative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: bolt assembly wherein device after Shell en gages Claim(s) discussed: 33, 43, 46, 49	e)□No. . a support device move borhole	es relative t	o a grippi.
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Erns</u> t et al. (US	35816759)		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) (X) was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N	/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Thurs a giver to be be be be be be be as a fixed to get be be be as a fixed to get be	ments which the examiner agropy of the amendments that w	claims to i	er the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER ON THE VIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPRESENTED ON THE SUBSTANCE OF	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APP ' DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
independent claims (33, 43, 46, 49) to			
anguage "when support device is in t	final installed stat	e the first	end
of the shell does not engage the bore			

SUNDL SENGH PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required